

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
INTRODUCTION	1
DEFINITIONS	3
CHAPTER 1 - CONSTITUTIONAL LIMITS ON THE STATES' POWERS TO TAX - AN OVERVIEW.....	11
1. INTRODUCTION.....	11
2. SIGNIFICANT DECISIONS – UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT (in order of appearance in text).....	11
- <u>Underwood Typewriter Co. v. Chamberlain</u>	11
- <u>Bass, Ratcliffe & Gretton Ltd. v. State Tax Comm's</u>	11
- <u>Hans Rees' Sons, Inc. v. North Carolina ex rel. Maxwell</u>	11
- <u>Northwest Portland Cement Co. v. Minnesota</u>	11
- <u>Scripto v. Carson</u>	11
- <u>National Bellas Hess v. Illinois</u>	12
- <u>Boston Stock Exchange v. State Tax Comm'n</u>	12
- <u>Complete Auto Transit, Inc. v. Brady</u>	12
- <u>National Geographic Society v. Cal. Equalization Bd.</u>	13
- <u>Japan Line, Ltd. v. County of Los Angeles</u>	13
- <u>Mobil Oil Corp. v. Commissioner of Taxes of Vermont</u>	13
- <u>Exxon Corp. v. Wisconsin Dept. of Revenue</u>	14
- <u>ASARCO Inc. v. Idaho State Tax Comm'n</u>	14
- <u>F.W. Woolworth Co. v. Taxation & Rev. Dept.</u>	14
- <u>Container Corporation of America v. Franchise Tax Board</u>	15
- <u>Armco Inc. v. Hardesty</u>	16
- <u>Shell Oil Co. v. Iowa Dept. of Revenue</u>	17
- <u>Goldberg v. Sweet</u>	17
- <u>Amerada Hess Corp. v. Director, Div. of Taxation</u>	18
- <u>Trinova Corp. v. Michigan Dept. of Treasury</u>	18
- <u>Quill Corp. v. North Dakota</u>	18
- <u>Allied Signal, Inc. v. Director, Division of Taxation</u>	19
- <u>Kraft v. Iowa</u>	19
- <u>Wisconsin v. Wrigley</u>	19
- <u>West Lynn Creamery, Inc. v. Healy</u>	20
- <u>Barclays Bank PLC v. Franchise Tax Board</u> and <u>Colgate-Palmolive v. Franchise Tax Board</u>	20
- <u>Oklahoma v. Jefferson Lines, Inc.</u>	20

–	<i>Fulton Corporation v. Faulkner</i>	21
–	<i>Hunt-Wesson, Inc. v. FTB</i>	21
–	<i>Meadwestvaco Corp. v. Illinois Department of Revenue</i>	21
–	<i>Direct Marketing v. Brohl</i>	22
–	<i>Direct Marketing v. Brohl</i>	22
3.	RECENT SIGNIFICANT DECISIONS - CALIFORNIA	22
–	<i>Hoechst Celanese v. Franchise Tax Board</i>	22
–	<i>Farmer Bros. Co. v. Franchise Tax Board</i>	22
–	<i>Fujitsu IT Holdings, Inc. v. Franchise Tax Board</i>	22
–	<i>Jim Beam Brands Co v. Franchise Tax Board</i>	23
–	<i>Microsoft Corporation v. Franchise Tax Board</i>	23
–	<i>General Motors Corp. v. Franchise Tax Board</i>	23
–	<i>Macy's Department Stores, Inc. v. City and County of San Francisco</i>	24
–	<i>Ordlock v. Franchise Tax Board</i>	24
–	<i>City National Corporation v. Franchise Tax Board</i>	24
–	<i>The Limited Stores, Inc. v. Franchise Tax Board</i>	25
–	<i>Northwest Energetic Svcs. v. Franchise Tax Board</i>	25
–	<i>Ventas Finance I, LLC v. Franchise Tax Board</i>	25
–	<i>Abbott Laboratories v. Franchise Tax Board</i>	26
–	<i>General Mills, Inc. v. Franchise Tax Board</i>	26
–	<i>Apple, Inc. v. Franchise Tax Board</i>	27
–	<i>Gonzales v. Franchise Tax Board</i>	27
–	<i>Dicon Fiberoptics v. Franchise Tax Board</i>	27
–	<i>Cutler v. Franchise Tax Board</i>	28
–	<i>General Mills v. Franchise Tax Board</i>	28
–	<i>Microsoft v. Franchise Tax Board</i>	29
–	<i>The Gillette Company v. Franchise Tax Board</i>	30
–	<i>Harley-Davidson v. Franchise Tax Board</i>	30
–	<i>Hyatt v. Franchise Tax Board</i>	31
4.	PENDING CASES	31
–	<i>Bakersfield Mall, LLC v. Franchise Tax Board</i>	31
–	<i>Bunzl Distribution v. Franchise Tax Board</i>	31
–	<i>Hyatt v. Yee</i>	32
–	<i>Harley Davidson v. Franchise Tax Board</i>	32
	CHAPTER 2 – ATTRIBUTIONAL/AGENCY NEXUS	33
1.	UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT DECISIONS	33
a.	<i>Scripto v. Carson</i>	33
b.	<i>Tyler Pipe Industries, Inc. v. Washington Dept. of Revenue</i>	33

c.	Non-tax cases	33
	<u>J. McIntyre Machinery, Ltd v. Nicaastro</u>	33.
2.	SIGNIFICANT CALIFORNIA DECISIONS.....	33
a.	<u>Illinois Commercial Men’s Assn. v. State Board of Equalization</u>	33
b.	<u>Scholastic Book Clubs, Inc. v. State Board of Equalization</u>	35
c.	<u>Appeal of Dresser Industries</u>	36
d.	<u>Borders Online v. State Board of Equalization</u>	36
e.	<u>Appeal of Barnes & Noble.com</u>	37
f.	<u>Harley-Davidson, Inc.v.Franchise Tax Board</u>	37
g.	<u>Swart Enterprises, Inc. v. Franchise Tax Board</u>	39
3.	NEXUS ESTABLISHED VIA INDEPENDENT CONTRACTORS.....	39
4.	NEXUS ESTABLISHED VIA THE UNITARY BUSINESS	40
5.	FACTOR PRESENCE NEXUS.....	41
6.	ECONOMIC NEXUS	41
	CHAPTER 3 – OVERVIEW OF THE TAX AND FEE FRAMEWORK.....	43
1.	CORPORATION FRANCHISE TAX.....	43
a.	Nature of the Tax	43
b.	"Doing Business" Defined - Section 23101	43
c.	Illustrative California Decisions on "Doing Business"	44
d.	Corporate Partners and "Doing Business"	45
e.	General Observations on the "Doing Business" Standard.....	46
2.	CORPORATION INCOME TAX	46
3.	LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY FEE	47
4.	“S” CORPORATIONS	48
5.	COMMON STATE/FEDERAL DIFFERENCES IN COMPUTING INCOME	48
a.	California Income/Franchise Taxes	49
b.	Other Taxes On/Measured by Income/Profits	49

c.	Interest on Government Obligations.....	50
d.	Net Capital Gain	50
e.	Depreciation and Amortization	50
f.	Dividends.....	50
i.	Dividends Previously Included in Measure of Tax – Section 24402.....	50
ii.	Dividends from Insurance Companies – Section 24410	52
iii.	Foreign Dividends in the Case of a Water's-Edge Election – Section 24411	53
iv.	United Kingdom Advance Corporate Tax.....	53
v.	Ordering of Dividend Distributions	54
g.	Contributions	55
h.	Enterprise Zone Interest.....	55
i.	Section 78 Gross-Up.....	55
j.	Subpart F Income.....	55
k.	Section 1248 Gain on Foreign Stock	55
l.	Expenses Relating to Tax Exempt Income	56
6.	SECTION 23101.5 EXCLUSION OF CERTAIN ACTIVITIES	56
7.	PUBLIC LAW 86-272 EXCLUSION OF CERTAIN ACTIVITIES	57
a.	In General	57
b.	FTB/MTC Position Statement	58
c.	Significant California Decisions	60
i.	Board of Equalization Decisions	60
I.	<i>Appeal of Riblet Tramway Company</i>	60
II.	<i>Appeal of Nardis of Dallas, Inc.</i>	60
III.	<i>Appeal of Aqua Aerobic Systems, Inc.</i>	61
IV.	<i>Appeal of Schwinn Sales West, Inc.</i>	61
V.	<i>Appeal of Hauserman, Inc.</i>	61
VI.	<i>Appeal of Stack's Sales, Inc.</i>	61
VII.	<i>Appeal of Personal Selling Power</i>	61
ii.	Court Cases.....	61
I.	<i>Brown Group Retail, Inc. v. Franchise Tax Board</i>	61
II.	<i>Reader's Digest Ass'n., Inc. v. Franchise Tax Board</i>	62
d.	P.L. 86-272 and Foreign Commerce	62
e.	P.L. 86-272 and <i>Finnigan</i>	63
i.	The <i>Joyce/Finnigan/Huffy</i> Issue.....	63
ii.	Regulation 25106.5	65
iii.	Other States	65
v.	<i>Citicorp North America, Inc.</i> and <i>Deluxe</i>	65
f.	Proposed Federal Legislation	66

CHAPTER 4 - THE UNITARY METHOD OF TAXATION	67
1. HISTORY AND OVERVIEW	67
2. TESTS OF UNITY	68
a. Three Unities Test	68
b. Contribution or Dependency Test	68
c. Interrelationship of Three Unities and Contribution or Dependency Tests.....	68
d. "Constitutional" Tests	69
e. Board Of Equalization "Boilerplate" Test Of Unity.....	69
f. Regulation 25120	71
i. In General	71
ii. <i>Appeal of Sierra Production</i>	72
iii. Judicial Use of Presumptions	73
iv. MTC Proposed Regulation.....	74
3. ADMINISTRATIVE/JUDICIAL DICHOTOMY	74
4. SPECIFIC ISSUES	75
a. Unity of Ownership	75
i. In General	75
ii. Amendment of Section 25105	75
iii. Former Practice	75
iv. Decisions	75
I. <i>Appeal of Casio, Inc.</i>	75
II. <i>Appeal of CDA Cable, Inc.</i>	76
b. "Instant Unity"	76
i. In General	76
ii. Significant Decisions.....	76
I. <i>Appeal of Atlas Hotels, Inc., et al.</i>	76
II. <i>Appeal of the Signal Companies, Inc.</i>	77
III. <i>Appeal of Paradise Systems, Inc.</i>	77
IV. <i>Appeal of ARA Services, Inc.</i>	77
V. <i>Appeal of Hyundai Motor America</i>	77
c. The " <i>Monsanto</i> " Issue.....	77
d. Partnership Interests	78
e. Insurance Companies.....	78
f. Holding Companies	80
i. In General	80
ii. Legal Rulings	81
I. 95-7	81
II. 95-8	81
iii. Unanswered Questions Re: Holding Companies	81

5.	SIGNIFICANT CALIFORNIA JUDICIAL UNITARY DECISIONS ..	81
a.	Overview	81
b.	Significant Decisions	81
	<i>Butler Brothers v. McColgan</i>	81
	<i>Edison California Stores, Inc. v. McColgan</i>	81
	<i>John Deere Plow Co. v. Franchise Tax Board</i>	82
	<i>Superior Oil Company v. Franchise Tax Board and Honolulu Oil Corp. v. Franchise Tax Board</i>	82
	<i>Chase Brass & Copper Co. v. Franchise Tax Board</i>	82
	<i>Container Corporation of America v. Franchise Tax Board</i>	82
	<i>F.W. Woolworth Co. v. Franchise Tax Board</i>	82
	<i>Mole-Richardson Co. v. Franchise Tax Board</i>	83
	<i>Tenneco West, Inc. v. Franchise Tax Bd.</i>	83
	<i>Dental Insurance Consultants, Inc. v. Franchise Tax Bd.</i>	83
	<i>A.M. Castle & Co. v. Franchise Tax Board</i>	84
	<i>Common Production Services I, Inc. v. Franchise Tax Board</i>	84
<hr/>		
6.	SIGNIFICANT CALIFORNIA BOARD OF EQUALIZATION UNITARY DECISIONS	84
a.	<i>Appeal of The Singer Company and Singer Housing Company</i>	85
b.	<i>Appeal of Cossette Investment Company</i>	85
c.	<i>Appeal of Itoham U.S.A., Inc. & Subsidiaries</i>	86
d.	<i>Appeal of Allied-Signal, Inc., As Successor-In-Interest to Allie6 Corporation</i> , Cal. St. Bd. of Equal., Feb. 24, 2000 (unpublished)	86
e.	<i>Appeal of Hyundai Precision & Industries Co., Led. and Hyundai Steel Industries, Inc.</i> , April 19, 2001 (unpublished)	87
f.	<i>Appeal of Yoshinoya West, Inc.</i> , August 2000 (unpublished)	87
CHAPTER 5 - UDITPA IN CALIFORNIA		88
1.	THE STATUTORY FRAMEWORK	88
a.	UDITPA in General	88
b.	Enactment by California of UDITPA	88
c.	The Multistate Tax Compact	90
d.	Possible Revision of UDITPA	91
2.	"TAXPAYER TAXABLE IN ANOTHER STATE" – DEFINED	91
a.	In General	91
b.	<i>Appeal of the Olga Company</i>	92
c.	8/16/88 FTB Chief Counsel Letter	92
3.	MTC AND THE UDITPA REGULATIONS	92
a.	The 1971 Regulations	93

b.	The 1973 Regulations	93
c.	Developments After 1973.....	94

CHAPTER 6 - BUSINESS AND NONBUSINESS INCOME 95

1.	OVERVIEW OF BUSINESS AND NONBUSINESS INCOME	95
2.	BUSINESS INCOME.....	95
a.	In General	95
b.	<i>Hoechst Celanese Corporation v. Franchise Tax Board</i>	97
i.	Statutory construction	97
ii.	History of UDITPA and its relationship to California authorities.....	97
iii.	Application of the transaction test	98
iv.	The functional test	98
I.	Conjunctivity.....	98
II.	Legal Ownership.....	98
III.	Regular	98
IV.	Integral	98
V.	<i>Robert Half</i>	99
VI.	Board of Equalization decisions	99
VII.	Other states' decisions	99
v.	Constitutional considerations.....	99
c.	Liquidation of a Business or Line of Business	99
i.	<i>Jim Beam Brands Co. v. Franchise Tax Board</i>	99
ii.	<i>Meadwestvaco v. Illinois Department of Revenue</i>	100
d.	Proposed Multistate Tax Commission Regulations.....	101
3.	NONBUSINESS INCOME	102
a.	In General	102
b.	Labels	103
4.	REGULATIONS	103
5.	SIGNIFICANT ISSUES AND DECISIONS.....	104
a.	In General	104
b.	Sale of Goodwill	104
i.	<i>Appeal of Borden</i>	104
c.	Dividend Income	104
i.	<i>Appeal of Standard Oil Company of California</i>	105
ii.	<i>Appeals of Control Data Corp. and Commercial Credit Corp.</i>	106
iii.	<i>Appeal of PQ Corporation</i>	106
d.	Sale of Stock	107

3

i.	<i>Appeal of General Dynamics Corporation</i>	107
ii.	<i>Times-Mirror Co. v. Franchise Tax Board</i>	107
iii.	<i>Appeal of Occidental Petroleum</i>	118
iv.	<i>Appeal of Louisiana Pacific Corp.</i>	109
v.	<i>Appeal of Atlantic Richfield Company</i>	109
vi.	<i>Appeal of Oryx Energy Co. & Sun Company, Inc. (R&M)</i>	109
vii.	<i>Jim Beam Brands Co. v. Franchise Tax Board</i>	110
viii.	<i>Appeal of Crane Co.</i>	110
e.	Income from Short-Term Investments – Working Capital	110
f.	“Earmarking”	111
g.	"Conversion" Issues	112
i.	<i>Appeal of Ethyl Corporation</i>	112
ii.	<i>Appeal of Thor Power Tool Company</i>	112
iii.	<i>Appeal of Nicholas Turkey Breeding Farms</i>	113
iv.	<i>Appeal of Masonite Corporation</i>	113
v.	Regulatory Examples	114
h.	Partnership Interests.....	114
i.	<i>Appeal of Centennial Equities Corporation</i>	114
ii.	<i>Appeal of Holiday Inns, Inc.</i>	114
iii.	Section 25125	115
iv.	Regulation 25137-1	115
v.	<i>Appeal of Peel Construction, Inc.</i>	116
i.	Sale of Leasehold Interest	116
i.	<i>Appeal of PQ Corporation</i>	116
j.	Lawsuit Recoveries	116
i.	<i>Appeal of Gam Rad West, Inc.</i>	116
ii.	<i>Appeal of Polaroid Company</i>	117
iii.	<i>Pennzoil Company v. Dept. of Revenue</i>	117
iv.	<i>Common Production Service I, Inc. v. Franchise Tax Board</i> ...	117
k.	Pension Reversions	118
i.	<i>Hoescht Celanese Corporation v. Franchise Tax Board</i>	118
l.	Loss on the Purchase of Stock Warrants	118
i.	<i>Robert Half International, Inc. v. Franchise Tax Board</i>	118
m.	Termination of a Line of Business	119

6. CONSEQUENCES OF BUSINESS/NONBUSINESS DETERMINATION . 119

CHAPTER 7 - THE APPORTIONMENT FORMULA 121

1.	OVERVIEW	121
a.	Factor Weighting	121
i.	California	121
ii.	Multistate Tax Commission.....	123

b.	Variations from the Standard Formula	123
c.	Partnership Factors	123
2.	THE PAYROLL FACTOR	123
a.	In General	123
b.	Significant Decisions	124
i.	<u>Appeal of Lipps, Inc.</u>	125
ii.	<u>Appeal of Photo-Marker Corporation of California</u>	125
3.	THE PROPERTY FACTOR	126
a.	In General	126
b.	Tangible vs. Intangible Property.....	126
c.	Valuation of Owned Property	126
d.	Valuation of Rented Property	127
i.	<u>Appeal of Castle & Cooke, Inc., et al.</u>	128
ii.	Legal Ruling 97-2	128
e.	"Use" of Property	129
i.	<u>Appeal of Tosco Corporation</u>	130
ii.	<u>Communications Satellite Corp.</u>	130
iii.	<u>Appeal of Kimberly-Clark Corporation</u>	131
f.	Property in Transit/Mobile Property.....	131
i.	<u>Appeal of Craig Corporation</u>	131
ii.	<u>Montgomery Ward & Co. v. FTB</u>	132
g.	Intercompany Items	132
h.	Intangible Property	132
4.	THE SALES FACTOR	133
a.	In General	133
b.	Sales.....	134
i.	Legislation.....	134
A.	California.....	134
B.	Multistate Tax Commission.....	135
ii.	Regulations.....	135
I.	Merrill Lynch.....	137
II.	Fluor.....	138
iii.	Cases.....	138
I.	Return of Principal.....	138
a.	Merrill, Lynch.....	138
b.	Microsoft.....	139
c.	General Motors.....	139
II.	Awards from Lawsuits.....	140
III.	Hedging.....	141
iv.	Multistate Tax Commission.....	141
c.	Sales of Tangible Personal Property.....	141
i.	Dock Sales.....	143

I.	McDonnell- Douglas.....	143
II.	Mazda Motors.....	143
ii.	Burden of Proof.....	143
iii	Throwback.....	143
I.	Christie Electric.....	144
II.	Hoffman LaRoche.....	144
d.	Other Sales – Income Producing Activity.....	144
i.	Statute.....	145
ii.	Regulation.....	146
iii.	Income Producing.....	146
A.	Object of Performance.....	147
B.	Direct Costs.....	147
C.	GAAP.....	148
D.	Independent Contractor.....	148
iv.	Cases.....	148
A.	Babcock & Wilcox.....	148
B.	Mark IV Metal Products.....	149
C.	Pacificorp.....	149
e.	Market Based Sourcing.....	150
i.	California.....	150
I.	Statute.....	150
II.	Regulations.....	150
A.	Services.....	150
B.	Income from Intangibles.....	151
C.	Fees from Tangibles.....	151
ii.	Multistate Tax Commission.....	151
f.	Other Issues.....	152
i.	Intercompany Sales.....	152
ii.	Double Counting.....	152
iii	Dividends.....	153
iv.	On behalf of.....	153

CHAPTER 8 - OTHER APPORTIONMENT METHODS UNDER SECTION 25137 155

1.	SECTION 25137 IN GENERAL.....	155
a.	The Burden of Proof for Invoking.....	156
b.	The Standard of Proof.....	156
i.	Main Line of Business.....	158
ii.	Qualitatively Different.....	159
iii.	Quantitatively Different.....	159
c.	Determination of the Relief to be Granted.....	160
d.	Manner of Raising Request for Application of section 25137.....	160

2.	THE REGULATIONS	160
a.	General Rules	160
i.	Regulations	161
ii.	Interpretation of Subdivision (a)(2)	162
iii.	Evidence of Unfair Assignment	162
b.	Special Industry Rules	163
c.	Effect of Regulations	164
3.	APPLICATION OF SECTION 25137	164
4.	HEARINGS ON SECTION 25137 PETITIONS.....	165
5.	SIGNIFICANT DECISIONS	165
a.	Court Decisions	165
i.	<i>Microsoft Corp. v. Franchise Tax Board</i>	165
ii.	<i>The Limited Stores v. Franchise Tax Board</i>	165
iii.	<i>General Motors Corporation v. Franchise Tax Board and Toys "R" Us v. Franchise Tax Board</i>	166
iv.	<i>Montgomery Ward v. Franchise Tax Board</i>	166
v.	<i>Square D v. Franchise Tax Board</i>	166
vi.	<i>Microsoft Corporation v. Franchise Tax Board</i>	166
vii.	<i>General Mills v. Franchise Tax Board</i>	167
b.	Board of Equalization Decisions	167
i.	<i>Appeal of New York Football Giants, Inc.</i>	167
ii.	<i>Appeals of Pacific Telephone and Telegraph Company</i>	167
iii.	<i>Appeal of Kikkoman International, Inc.</i>	168
iv.	<i>Appeal of American Telephone and Telegraph Co.</i>	168
v.	<i>Appeal of Oscar Enterprises, L.T.D.</i>	169
vi.	<i>Appeal of Fluor Corporation</i>	170
vii.	<i>Appeal of Hyundai Motor America</i>	170
viii.	<i>Appeal of Crisa Corporation</i>	170
ix.	<i>Appeal of Quick & Reilly, Inc.</i>	172
x.	<i>Appeal of Swift Transportation</i>	172
xi.	<i>Appeal of Home Depot</i>	173
xii.	<i>Appeal of Argonaut</i>	173

CHAPTER 9 - THE COMBINED REPORT 174

1.	THE CONCEPT OF THE COMBINED REPORT	174
a.	In General	174
2.	ELEMENTS OF THE COMBINED REPORT	175

3.	COMMON ISSUES	176
a.	Corporations Operating Wholly Within California	176
b.	Part-Year Members.....	176
c.	Corporations Having Different Accounting Periods	177
d.	Alternative Minimum Tax	177
e.	Net Operating Loss Carryover.....	177
f.	Tax Credits	178
g.	Bankruptcy Discharge	178
h.	Partnerships	178
i.	Limited Liability Companies	179
4.	INTERCOMPANY TRANSACTIONS	179
a.	Inventories	179
b.	Fixed Assets and Capitalized Items.....	181
c.	Factor Adjustments	182
d.	Dividends	182
e.	Eliminations as Income.....	182
f.	Deferred Intercompany Stock Account (DISA)	182
g.	Acceleration Events	183
5.	DIVIDEND ELIMINATIONS/DEDUCTION ISSUES	183
a.	Intercompany Dividends - Section 25106	183
i.	<u>Appeal of Louisiana-Pacific Corporation</u>	183
ii.	<u>Appeal of Willamette Industries, Inc.</u>	183
b.	Earnings & Profits and "Dividends"	184
i.	<u>Appeal of Young's Market Company</u>	184
ii.	<u>Willamette Industries, Inc. v. Franchise Tax Board</u>	184
c.	Dividends From Earnings Previously Taxed – Section 24402	184
d.	Dividends from Insurance Subsidiaries – Section 24410	185
e.	Dividend Ordering	185
6.	BASIS ADJUSTMENTS.....	187
a.	<u>Appeal of Resource Marketing, Inc.</u>	187
b.	<u>Appeal of Rapid-American Corporation</u>	187
c.	<u>Jim Beam Brands Co. v. Franchise Tax Board</u>	187
7.	INTEREST OFFSET	187
8.	ELECTION TO FILE A SINGLE RETURN	189
9.	COMBINED REPORTS INCLUDING FOREIGN ACTIVITIES.....	190
10.	WATER'S EDGE COMBINATION	190
a.	General	190

b.	<i>Fujitsu IT Holdings v. Franchise Tax Board</i>	191
----	---	-----

CHAPTER 10 - COMMON ADMINISTRATIVE/PROCEDURAL ISSUES . 192

1.	INTRODUCTION	192
2.	ESTIMATED TAXES	192
3.	RETURN FILING REQUIREMENTS.....	192
4.	INTEREST	192
	a. On Tax	193
	b. On Penalties	193
	c. Compounding	193
	d. 10-Day Provision.....	193
	e. Interest Suspension	193
5.	PENALTIES	194
	a. Failure to File Timely Return	194
	b. Failure to Pay Total Tax By Due Date	194
	c. Underpayment of Estimated Tax	194
	d. Failure to Furnish Information Upon Request.....	194
	e. Accuracy Related (Substantial Underpayment) Penalty	195
	f. Large Corporation Income Tax Underpayment Penalty.....	195
	g. Penalty for Failure to Maintain Records.....	196
	h. Electronic Funds Transfers	196
	i. Information About Foreign-Owned Corporations	196
	j. Redetermination of Income	197
	k. Amnesty Related Penalty.....	197
	l. Non-economic Substance Penalty	198
	m. Abusive Shelter Promoter Penalty	198
	n. DISA Penalty	198
	o. Suspension of Professional License	198
6.	STATUTES OF LIMITATIONS.....	199
	a. General Statute for Deficiencies	199
	b. General Statute for Claims for Refund	199
	c. Extension for Deficiency by Federal Waiver	199
	d. Extension for Claim for Refund by Federal Waiver.....	199
	e. Extension for Deficiency Where Federal Change Reported.....	199
	f. Extension for Claim for Refund Where Federal Change Reported.....	199
	g. Extension for Deficiency Where Federal Change Not Reported...	200
	h. <i>Ordlock v. Franchise Tax Board</i> (2004)	200

i.	Time for Deficiency Where Fraud or No Return Filed	200
7.	AUDIT REGULATION	200
8.	PROTESTS TO FTB	200
9.	APPEALS TO THE BOARD OF EQUALIZATION	201
a.	General	201
b.	Finality of Decision	201
c.	Regulations regarding appeals	202
d.	Types of Decisions	202
e.	Exhaustion of Administrative Remedies	202
f.	Ability to Maintain an Appeal	203
10.	SUITS FOR REFUND	203
11.	TAXPAYER'S BILL OF RIGHTS	205
12.	RECOVERY OF LITIGATION COSTS	205
13.	SETTLEMENTS PURSUANT TO AB 887	207
14.	ALTERNATIVE DISPUTE RESOLUTION	207
15.	SECTION 25137 PETITIONS.....	207