Jeff Bezos, Elon Musk Tax Data Leaked Out a Year Ago. IRS Leaders Still Wait for Answers

WASHINGTON—Top U.S. officials who oversee the Internal Revenue Service are expressing growing dismay with a year-old D.C. mystery: how confidential information about the nation’s wealthiest and highest-income taxpayers—including Jeff Bezos and Elon Musk—became public.

Extensive disclosures to news organization ProPublica made public the tax figures of many of the most well-known ultrawealthy Americans, showing their incomes, payments and tax strategies. There have been no arrests nor any official hints about how the wall of secrecy around tax records was broken; it is unknown whether the IRS has found or closed any security gaps.

IRS keystroke-tracking systems are supposed to monitor access to taxpayer information so investigators can determine what happened. Government employees with official access to tax records who disclose them can face felony prosecution.

"I really am anxious to see some results here," said Treasury Secretary Janet Yellen, who oversees the IRS, at a Senate hearing this month.

Without answers, the IRS risks further erosion of trust in the little-loved and sometimes-feared agency, which handles Americans’ sensitive financial information. For years, the IRS has faced flat budgets and increasing responsibilities, leaving agency officials stretched thin as they try to improve information technology, enforcement and taxpayer service.

Ms. Yellen said investigations are under way by law-enforcement agencies and inspectors general, and that she hasn't seen any information about what has been found.

The Treasury inspector general for tax administration, or Tigta, hasn't confirmed the existence of an investigation. The disclosures also spawned a promise of investigation last year from Attorney General Merrick Garland. The Justice Department declined to comment.

"Tigta neither confirms nor denies the existence of any action it may be taking with respect to any allegations of wrongdoing under its jurisdiction," a spokesman said. "Tigta takes all such allegations seriously and takes any action it deems appropriate."

IRS Commissioner Charles Rettig, appointed by former President Donald Trump, a Republican, has expressed frustration in several recent appearances over the probe's slow pace.

At a House Oversight subcommittee hearing last month, Mr. Rettig said protecting taxpayers’ information is a priority. He noted that it would be a crime for someone to breach IRS systems but made clear that the origins of the disclosure haven't been revealed.

"I don't believe that...there has been a public statement that it actually was a leak or a breach from the Internal Revenue Service," he said. "The delay in getting answers for the public certainly impacts the ability of the public to have trust and respect for the Internal Revenue Service."

Sen. Mike Crapo (R., Idaho) and Rep. Kevin Brady (R., Texas), the top Republicans on the tax-writing committees, have pressed Ms. Yellen for answers.
"The American people remain in the dark about who was responsible and how the Treasury
Department allowed it to happen," they wrote to her in April.

ProPublica has provided limited details about how it obtained the data. It has said its reporters don’t
know the identity of their source and authenticated information in part by comparing the data with
publicly available records. It referred questions about the investigation to the Treasury Department.

While not all leaks of sensitive information are criminal, the Justice Department often targets those
that are classified or contained in confidential financial disclosures, which are illegal under federal
law. The continuing investigation into the ProPublica leak comes amid an uproar over the leaked
Supreme Court draft opinion overturning the landmark 1973 abortion-rights case Roe v. Wade, with
experts in that case skeptical that the leak of such information qualifies as a crime.

But taxpayer information has specific legal protections, and government officials who have legal
access to the data could face felony charges for disclosing it. There is also a law against publishing
private tax information; ProPublica said last year that it was aware of that law but didn’t believe it
would be constitutional in instances where news organizations didn’t solicit the information or
remove the data from the government itself.

Mr. Garland last year sharply limited federal prosecutors’ ability to obtain records of reporters’
contacts when investigating government leaks of sensitive information, saying the agency’s prior
policies hadn’t properly weighed the national interest in protecting journalists from forced disclosure
of sources. But investigators have other methods in such cases, including seeking phone records of
non-journalists.

The disclosures helped demonstrate when rich people pay taxes and when they don’t, and allowed
ProPublica, starting last June, to detail strategies used by certain wealthy people to minimize tax
bills. That includes trusts, special provisions for real-estate investors, business losses and large
Roth IRAs. Mr. Musk has called the reports misleading. An Amazon.com Inc. spokesman didn’t
respond to a request for comment from Mr. Bezos.

Stephen Engelberg, ProPublica’s editor in chief, said Mr. Musk’s remarks have confirmed the
accuracy of the reporting. He said Mr. Musk replied to inquiries with only a single question mark and
hasn’t responded to subsequent questions.

Immediately after the records’ publication last June, some IRS experts said they assumed the
agency’s keystroke-tracking system would lead investigators quickly to a culprit. Tigta can and does
use that system to find IRS employees with unauthorized access to records. Those investigations
average 254 days, with time after that for IRS follow-up.

IRS employees are trained to be extra careful with taxpayers’ information. Signs warning about
unauthorized access are prominent in agency buildings. The IRS has investigated more than 1,700
employee misconduct cases involving unauthorized access over the past decade, with about 200 of
those including investigations for disclosures, according to a new Government Accountability Office
report. Nearly 400 employees have been suspended, resigned or removed from their jobs due to
those investigations.

One possibility is that the audit-trail system is incomplete. A 2020 Tigta report found that most audit-
trail systems at the IRS weren’t recording or retaining full information for investigations.
It is also possible that the records left the IRS legally and disclosures happened after that. Under the law, IRS shares tax information with outside people and entities, including state officials, federal statistical agencies, academic researchers, prosecutors and Congress. They are bound by the same confidentiality laws, but the pool of potential suspects is quite broad and doesn't just include IRS workers.

"If it were from the IRS, you would have known that by now," said former IRS Commissioner John Koskinen. "Because it would have been a tour de force to get all that out and not leave any trace at all."

If an investigation concluded without a prosecution, the public may never know what happened. Prosecutors and investigators typically don't announce that. At some point, it would be helpful for the inspector general to offer more details, though that still might not undo the damage to the agency, said Dennis Ventry, former chairman of the IRS Advisory Council.

"This is corrosive both to morale at the IRS and corrosive to the trust in the IRS and the government. You just can't sit around sucking your thumb," Mr. Koskinen said. "This is not the usual case. This is a charge against 77,000 employees."

Sadie Gurman contributed to this article.
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