Table of Contents

International Trade and Internet Freedom
Anupam Chander, University of California, Davis - School of Law

Canine DNA
Edward J. Imwinkelreid, University of California, Davis - School of Law

Ten Guiding Principles for Truly Comprehensive Immigration Reform: A Blueprint
Kevin R. Johnson, University of California, Davis - School of Law

Narrative, Myth and Morality in Corporate Legal Theory
Thomas Wuil Joo, University of California - Davis Law School

Legal Regulation of Pregnancy and Childbirth
Courtney G. Joslin, University of California, Davis - School of Law

Patent Law and the Two Cultures
Peter Lee, University of California, Davis School of Law

How You Gonna' Keep Her Down on the Farm . . .
Lisa R. Pruitt, University of California, Davis - School of Law

"International Trade and Internet Freedom"
America Society of International Law, Vol. 102, p. 37, 2009
UC Davis Legal Studies Research Paper No. 201

ANUPAM CHANDER, University of California, Davis - School of Law
Email: achander@ucdavis.edu

Can trade liberalization serve the cause of political liberalization in authoritarian states? In this short essay, I suggest that trade law might bolster political freedoms by liberalizing Internet trade. Trade law puts pressure on state repression of information through two principal mechanisms.

First, GATS transparency obligations require what is often absent in authoritarian states – a set of public rules that governs both citizens and governmental authorities. WTO member states must publish regulations governing services and establish inquiry points where foreign service providers can obtain information about such regulations. A publication requirement written for the benefit of foreigners may prove even more useful for local citizens, who will be given the opportunity to understand the rules that bind them – and the opportunity therefore to challenge those rules or their interpretation.

Second, the market access and national treatment commitments provide opportunities for foreign information service providers to disseminate information that local information service providers might eschew. While censorship by itself may not necessarily constitute either a market access or a national treatment violation, it might do so if it is operationalized in ways that effectively discriminate against foreign service providers.
DNA typing has become the new "Gold Standard" in forensic science. In its February 2009 report, the National Academy of Science declared that "nuclear DNA analysis" is the only "forensic science method... rigorously shown to have the capacity to consistently, and with a high degree of certainty, demonstrate a connection between evidence and a specific individual or source." While the vast majority of the published opinions involve human DNA, litigants are now offering testimony about non-human DNA analysis with growing frequency. Evidence of canine DNA analysis has been admitted at trials in California, Florida, Illinois, Iowa, Oklahoma, Pennsylvania, and Washington.

Canine DNA analysts use essentially the same scientific techniques and terminology as human DNA analysts. Given the courts' receptivity to human DNA evidence, there is an understandable temptation to treat canine DNA evidence in roughly the same fashion as human DNA testimony. However, on closer scrutiny, there are important differences between the two types of DNA evidence. In particular, there are questions about the stability and reliability of the population frequency data used in the statistical evaluation of the significance of a match in canine DNA analysis.

This article compares and contrasts the use of nuclear DNA (nDNA) and mitochondrial DNA (mtDNA) analysis of canine and human DNA. The article identifies the salient differences between canine and human DNA analysis, notably with respect to the related statistics. In addition, the article critically evaluates some of the leading published opinions on canine DNA. Those opinions indicate that the bench and bar do not yet fully appreciate the differences between human and canine DNA analysis. On the one hand, compared to microscopic analysis of canine hair, the use of canine DNA analysis represents a distinct improvement. On the other hand, rather than facilely equating canine and human DNA analysis, the legal community must develop a more sophisticated understanding of the available empirical data on canine DNA.
Law appeals to existing ideology, but also attempts to remake ideology, though it can only do so incrementally, in recursive fashion, by taking advantage of its relationship to existing beliefs.
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This piece, a short entry in The Child: An Encyclopedic Companion, examines the legal regulation of pregnant women. In particular, the article discusses whether and under what circumstances the state can force pregnant women to undergo unwanted medical treatments or physically restrain or punish pregnant women for engaging in otherwise legal conduct when the state believes that these interventions are necessary to protect the fetus from potential harms.
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A half century ago, author and physicist C.P. Snow warned of a "gulf of mutual incomprehension" between the liberal arts and sciences. Snow's "Two Cultures" thesis is particularly relevant to patent law, a realm where law and science intersect. Drawing on Snow's framework, this Article addresses challenges that arise when lay judges must engage, understand, and ultimately pass judgment on complex technologies. It first argues that technological subject matter imposes significant cognitive burdens on generalist judges. It then explores the "cognitive miser" model whereby lay persons adopt heuristics and defer to expertise to limit their engagement with technology. Drawing from this psychological model, this Article then explores the unique role of formalism in patent doctrine. Advancing an information cost theory of Federal Circuit jurisprudence, this Article argues that formalistic patent doctrine mitigates the degree to which judges must engage technological subject matter. Formalism truncates difficult technical inquiries, thus helping to mediate the intersection of law and science.

The Article then identifies a countervailing trend in recent Supreme Court patent decisions. It is well-established that the Court has substantively narrowed patent rights. Less appreciated, however, is the Court's systematic preference for contextually-sensitive, holistic standards over inquiry-truncating, formalistic rules. This so-called "holistic turn" promises to increase the degree to which lay judges must engage technologically complex subject matter. To address resulting cognitive burdens, this Article offers prescriptions for blending the economizing virtues of rules with the flexibility and contextual sensitivity of standards. It concludes by exploring the cultural differences of the Federal Circuit and the Supreme Court as well as their implications for patent doctrine.
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This is a contribution to a collection of autobiographical essays, "One-L Revisited," in which authors reflect on their experiences as first-year law students. The author of this essay recounts her experiences at the University of Arkansas School of Law (1986-87). She frames her recollections primarily in relation to her rural, working-class background and her later-acquired feminist politics.
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